

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,441	12/14/2001	Farid Heidari Miandoab	51252-5210	3706
9629	7590 03/25/2004		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			MILLER, CARL STUART	
	SYLVANIA AVENUE N' FON, DC 20004	w	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3747	9
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{M}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- [
	10/014,441	MIANDOAB ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carl S. Miller	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Months, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 to 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	·	is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9</u> , <u>10</u> , <u>14</u> , <u>24</u> , <u>28 and</u> 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> , <u>15-23</u> , <u>29-30</u> , <u>32 and 34</u> is/are r 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13,25-27 and 33</u> is/are objected to claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	n <u>d 31</u> is/are withdrawn fror rejected. to.	n consideration.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 5/15/02 is/are: a)☐ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	nccepted or b) objected e drawing(s) be held in abey action is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 488. 	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/014,441

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 9, 10, 14, 24, 28 and 31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 7

The applicant will note that claims 9, 10, 23 and 24 have also been withdrawn, since they appear to only read on the Figure 4A embodiment. The restriction requirement is hereby made final.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 15, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kilgore ('942).

In particular, the two surfaces of Kilgore which anticipate these claims are the outer surface of the tube, which has undulations, and either end piece of the structure, both of which act to close the tube. An imaginary plane can be drawn through point A which will touch the first surface at three points. Since there is no mention of taking the air out of the tube during manufacturing, the tube will necessarily contain air when sealed at its ends.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,441

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 30, 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kilgore ('942).

In particular, the method of replacing one tube of a particular configuration with a second tube having another configuration would have been obvious because Kilgore notes that other embodiments with different volume can be used, as needed, for a particular system.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robinson ('621).

In particular, Figure 5 of the reference teaches an embodiment which has a corrugated surface integral with an end smooth surface. As in Kilgore, there is nothing about removing air during assembly. Finally, since the corrugated part of the bellows is round, the end would be round as well in order to close the element.

Claims 30, 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson.

As noted above with respect to Kilgore, Robinson also teaches that different strengths of the material used could be used for different system requirements, thereby making the substitution of a second volume obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,441

Art Unit: 3747

Page 4

Claims 11-13, 25-27, and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The drawings are objected to because the newer drawings filed 5/15/02 have eliminated many of the original reference numbers still in the specification. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In particular, all of the references, except one, show damper members of various shapes suspended in pipes to dampen pressure pulsations.

Miandoab teaches applicant's fuel <u>rail</u> constructed in a similar manner as is his, now claimed, fuel damper.

Finally, the applicant should correct the spacing of his Claim 2 which presently continues on the same line as Claim 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Miller at telephone number 308-2653.

Miller/DI

March 4, 2004

Primary Examiner